

#7564 SCALING PRECISION AGRICULTURE IN WEST AFRICA SMALLHOLDER IRRIGATION AND WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Adebayo OlubukolaOke^{1*} and Vincent Aduramigba-Modupe¹
Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Moor
Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria

*Correspondence author: E-mail: bayooke1410@gmail.com; +234-8025245305

ABSTRACT

The advent of Precision Agriculture (PA) is changing the crop farming productivity around the world. The principles underline PA ensure that the inputs required for the management of soil, water and crop agronomy are supplied in a precise way such that what is needed across the field landscape is actually supplied resulting in optimum yield. The benefits of precision agriculture include improved food security through increases in water and nutrient use efficiency, and timely management of activities such as weed control and harvesting. The practise of PA could be beneficial across the commercial – large scale – as well as smallholder production systems including especially in the water management and irrigation sector. Smallholder irrigated crop production across west Africa accounts for significantly more areas in Ghana, Nigeria, Mali, B/Faso and Senegal than the conventional large irrigation schemes. Scaling the practice of PA specifically in large scale commercial and smallholder irrigation systems faces different challenges thus requiring different approaches. Distinction is made between large scale irrigation (with large land holdings) either government or private and smallholder irrigation with farm holdings between 0.1 – 1 .0ha. Farmers technical and financial capacity are among the major critical factors limiting the scaling of irrigation technology. These factors further limit the deployment of PA in the west Africa irrigation sector. Application of the conventional PA system requires machine controls for field equipment guide with monitors, sensors and GPS systems to achieve variable rate application. This is feasible in large scale private irrigation systems where PA equipment uses Centre Pivot, Traveling Sprinkler Systems and drip technology water application systems. However, in small holder irrigated farms, surface irrigation, bucket, hose sprinkling, conventional sprinklers and low scale drip are predominant. Therefore, contrary to the use of fixed interval irrigation regime, blanket fertilizer recommendation and overirrigation which characterises smallholder irrigation practices, PA is adaptable to the smallholder irrigation as well. Scaling PA in West Africa smallholder system is without automation or sophisticated equipment. Basic tools like TDR moisture meter, Wetting Front Detector and simple soil test kits to are required to guide irrigation scheduling and ensure adequacy of nutrient application. The Chameleon sensor is another emerging useful tool to implement PA in smallholder irrigation system. This enables efficient water use, reduced nutrient leaching and improve crop yield. The use of these tools is still very low across the West Africa with only few farmers in Ghana that have been trained in the use of Wetting Front Detector and Chameleon sensor. There is need to scale down and digitalize soil information from regional project like AfSIS and make it available to smallholder farmers via mobile communication system to improve on fertilizer uses. Scaling these tools for efficient deployment of PA in irrigation systems at smallholder manual scale will involve increased awareness of PA benefits as a driving incentive, capacity building, bringing the tools closer to the end users and a business model that make such tools affordable for small holder irrigation farmers.

INTRODUCTION

The need to ensure that inputs in crop production system are efficiently allocated, utilized and deliver highest benefit necessitated the concept of precision agriculture (PA). The PA refers to an integrated crop management system that attempts to match the kind and amount of inputs with actual needs of crop for small area within a field (Davis et al., 1998). Variability in soil characteristics specifically and the agricultural field generally are well known. Farmers had always attempt to do some form of site-specific crop management although the idea of using electronic information technology to automate that process is relatively recent. (James and Bruce, 2019). As observed by Whelan and MacBratney (2000). PA is an attempt to match resource application and agronomic practices with soil and crop requirements as they vary in space and time within a field. According to Ncube et al., (2018) a recent addition to the principles of PA is the precision irrigation. Precision irrigation focuses on ‘differential irrigation’ treatment of field variation as opposed to the ‘uniform irrigation’ treatment that underlies traditional irrigation management. Precision irrigation saves water and reduces costs by applying only the optimum amount of irrigation to individual plants or small areas within a field, while the traditional practice takes a ‘whole-field’ approach (Smith and Baillie 2009) in which specific amount of water is given to the entire field irrespective of soil moisture variation at the time of irrigation. The major benefits of PA is in substantial savings in agricultural inputs. Farming inputs are applied as needed in the cropping system (Ncube et al., 2018; Takacs-Gyorgy et al. 2013). Precision farming uses technology to improve efficiency. It offers benefits for yields, profits and the environment (James and Bruce 2019; Silva et al., 2007). The PA including precision irrigation comes with investment in machinery particularly when deployed at the conventional commercial or at the scale beyond the smallholders. Although, studies have shown that farmers may consider this cost too expensive (Reichardt and Jürgens 2009) nevertheless, PA can save up to 60% in agro-chemical and 30% in mineral fertilizers (Ncube et al., 2018). Another major advantage of PA is what Isioye (2013) observed as the cyclic nature of the processes inputs and yield measurement resulting in variable rate application. The operation of PA depends on the use of control systems of crop, soil and positioning sensors, machine controls responsible for variable rate application, computer-based systems. Conventional PA requires integration of three elements: (1) positioning capabilities (currently, global positioning system or GPS) to know where equipment is located; (2) real-time mechanisms for controlling nutrient, pesticide, seed, water or other crop Production inputs; and (3) databases or sensors that provide information needed to develop input response to site-specific conditions (Abdusalam, 2019; Evandro et al., 2007).

This paper examines the level of PA practices in the smallholder irrigation systems in West Africa and suggest the levels at which PA could be deployed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work present, firstly a review of literatures on PA practices in irrigation and water management among the smallholder farmers in West Africa. A distinction is made between conventional PA practices typically deployed in large farms with machine control equipment as against field equipment and tools used in smallholder systems to guide specific field operations.

Secondly, the interactions with smallholder farmers in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali and Nigeria, in relation to their approach to irrigation and water management and how much of precision agriculture principles and practices have been integrated.

Precision Irrigation Agricultural Practices

The deployment of precision irrigation in public large and commercial irrigation schemes were considered. The following were considered in relation to application of variable rate technologies and its components

- Irrigation methods and extent of precision irrigation practice
- Equipment types deployed
- Knowledge and capacity to efficiently use the systems
- Constraints and limitation to the scaling of the precision irrigation agricultural practices and how those constraint could be overcome

The study identified technology access related issues to improve the the deployment of precision irrigation practices in the West Africa farming systems.

PA Related Technologies in Smallholder Irrigation Systems

The observations and evaluation present were conducted using field survey, stakeholders' meetings, innovation platforms and personal interviews. This were part of different agricultural water management and irrigation extension programmes at different times. The experiences of the farmers in smallholder systems confirms the imperativeness of deployment of precision agricultural practices to enhance productivity of the farming systems. The following are the key issues focused on in the various meeting and evaluation of smallholder irrigation works

- Size of farm holdings
- Irrigation practices – types and methods
- Irrigation equipment
- Constraints to increased irrigation practices
- Knowledge and capacity

Investigations include efforts of the farmers to ensure high productivity in water application such as the irrigation schedule practices and nutrient application methods. The contribution of these strategies to the principles and practices of precision irrigation were identifiedb

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Precision Agriculture in West Africa

The conventional PA is gradually gaining recognition in across the Africa agricultural landscapes. However, Olalekan (2013) while agreeing that the various components of a conventional PA system may be available, the practice is not yet feasible and indeed negligible (James and Bruce, 2010) within the smallholder systems.

The components required to ensure variable rate application are not yet available to farmers at the smallholder scale either in the general agricultural practices or for irrigation in the countries of west africa.(Abdusalam 2019; Olalekan 2013)

Agricultural Water Management and Irrigation System Across West Africa

Irrigation practices across west african countries falls under 3 major categories: Formal Public Irrigation schemes, Commercial large scale schemes and Informal smallholder private irrigation or farmer led irrigation practices. This structures is what is observed in Ghana, Nigeria, Mali, Burkina Faso as well as in other sub-Saharan countries (Namara et al., 2014).

Public Large Irrigation Schemes

The large schemes in Nigeria, Ghana, Mali and Burkina Faso are government managed by a specific agency of Government (Table 1). The main approach has been to share the large schemes as small holdings among farmers who produced in the scheme crops of their choices.

With the exception of Mali, which has equipped over 40% of her irrigation potential, the actual area under irrigation in most other west african countries is about 12% (Namara and Sally, 2014)

Table 1. Irrigation potential in selected West African countries (Namara and Sally, 2014)

Country	Irrigation Development Agency	Estimated Potential irrigated area (1,000ha)	% of potential area equipped for irrigation
Burkina Faso	Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation Development Burkina Faso (Ministère de l'Agriculture et des Aménagements Hydrauliques)	165	15.2
Ghana	Ghana Irrigation Development Agency	1900	1.6
Mali	Office Du Niger	566	41.7
Nigeria	River Basin Development Authorities	2331	12.6

In the public large schemes, irrigation water management are difficult to be synchronized among the water users therefore, most of the schemes uses the fixed interval irrigation scheduling, wherein, water is supplied at specific interval (e.g. 5 or 7 days) and the farmers have to irrigate irrespective of their specific field. Achieving irrigation scheduling or precision water application based on specific field need is difficult in the schemes. Also, there are no incentives for water saving practices since many of these schemes across West Africa runs as public enterprises where farmers hardly pay what is commensurate to the volume of water use. (Yanbo, et al., 2019) This has been observed in Ghana, Mali and Burkina Faso (World Bank 2007).


Commercial Irrigation Farms

Commercial private irrigation farms are becoming a major part of irrigation development efforts in the West Africa. These have been observed in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali and Nigeria where Rice, Wheat and Sugar cane cultivation are taking the centre stage. A number of these large commercial farms use sprinkler and centre pivot systems. These irrigation methods of have good potential for the integration of PA infrastructure in their systems. The advantages in the use of PI with these equipment have been identified by Best and Duke 2001. The integration of variable rate technology which could be possible with the centre pivot irrigation system (Figure 3), this is not feasible with the basic equipment and irrigation methods common – basin, furrow, hose sprinkling - in the smallholder private irrigation systems. (James and Bruce, 2010)


Smallholder Irrigation Practices

Outside the large public irrigation schemes across the West Africa, there have a been a long-standing practice of crop production off season in the inland valleys, flood plains and within landscapes with shallow groundwater. These are farm holdings between 0.2 – 1ha (Namara et al., 2014).

Often, irrigation scheduling and efficient water application methods are not quickly adoptable in the smallholder farmings system. This is because, the reason for the extra cost of equipment to better manage water use are not ‘convincing’ enough to farmers.



a) Map of application of water based on crop requirements in the different parts of the area under irrigation.



b) Example of aerial view and subdivision of irrigation areas based on the variable rate application system installed.

Figure 1. Center pivot variable rate irrigation system (Best and Duke, 2001)

Plausible Precision Irrigation Practices in Smallholder Irrigation Systems

Inclusion of conventional PA principles, equipment and practices in smallholder irrigation practices are very remote. Smallholder irrigation farmers are often concerned about supplying water to meet the crop needs. This is done without measurement or control. Precision irrigation may not be easily integrated in the smallholder irrigation except some practices that can ensure precise and efficient water application are adopted. Few practices that are adaptable to smallholder system with potential to improve productivity of irrigation. These include:

- Irrigation scheduling: Contrary to what obtains in the public irrigation schemes, improving irrigation scheduling will allow water to be applied when crops need it.
- Efficient irrigation methods: To improve on PI in smallholder system, the immediate approach may include deploying more efficient irrigation methods. Deployment of more efficient irrigation methods such as sprinkler and drip irrigation systems, may increase precise application of irrigation water
- Soil moisture depletion management: Smallholder irrigators often depend on their personal judgement, experience and observation of soil and plant stresses to determine when to irrigate. The deployment of small field level moisture monitoring tools such as Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) Probes, Chameleon Sensor (Stirzaker, 2005) and Wetting front detector (Stirzaker, 2003) will go a long way in monitoring moisture depletion and ensuring precise water application. (Ncube et al., 2018). This tool has great potential to help smallholder farmer in the guide against overirrigation and ensuring an application of appropriate depth of irrigation water.

Soil Nutrient Monitoring, Application and Precision Agriculture Practices

The work of the African Information System (AfSIS) which set to provide Spatial predictions of soil macro and micro-nutrient content across Sub-Saharan Africa at 250 m spatial resolution and for 0–30 cm depth interval is presented (Hengi et al., 2017). This work has potential to assist in making reliable and precise nutrient levels available for major macro and micronutrient available to guide nutrient application within the smallholder fields. The AfSIS focuses on 15 targets nutrients: These types of information if made available at the farm scale level, such that farmers can actually apply site specific nutrient as related to the crop and field will be a step higher in the deployment of PA in Africa.

REFERENCES

- Abdulsalam A. 2019. Benefits of Precision Agriculture in Nigeria. *London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal* 19(2):29-34.
- AGCO. 2005. <http://www.fieldstar.com/agco/FieldStar/FieldStarUK/System/DataCollection.html>
- Best SC, Duke HR. 2001. Spatial distribution of water and nitrogen application under center pivot sprinklers. *Proceedings of Central Plains Irrigation course and exposition*. Kearney, Nebraska, Central Plane Irrigation Association. p. 58-65.
- Bruno B, Jean-Yves J, Hervé O, Abdoulaye D, Boubacar B. 2014. Irrigation Investment Trends and Economic Performances in the Sahelian Countries of West Africa. In Namara RE, Sally H. (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Workshop on Irrigation in West Africa: Current Status and a View to the Future*, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, December 1-2, 2010. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute (IWMI). 373p. doi:10.5337/2014.218
- Davis G, Casady WW, Massey RE. 1998. *Precision agriculture: An introduction*. Columbia: Extension publications, (MU).
- Evandro C, Francisco de AC, Daniel M, Emilio R. 2007. *Precision Agriculture: New tools to improve technology management in agricultural enterprises. Perspectives. Technology and Innovation*
- Hengl T, Leenaars JGB, Shepherd KD, et al. 2017. Soil nutrient maps of Sub-Saharan Africa: assessment of soil nutrient content at 250 m spatial resolution using machine learning. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst*. 109: 77-102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-017-9870-x>
- Isioye OA. 2013. Precision Agriculture: Applicability and Opportunities for Nigerian Agriculture *Middle East Journal of Scientific Research* 13(9):1230-1237. doi: 10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2013.13.9.1004
- James L, Bruce E. 2019. Setting the Record Straight on Precision Agriculture Adoption. *Agron. J*. 111:1552-1569. doi:10.2134/agronj2018.12.0779
- James LD, Bruce E. 2010. The Search for the Killer App: Precision Farming in Africa. *Georgetown Journal of International Affairs* 11(2):107-116.
- Ncube B, Mupangwa W, French A. 2018. Precision Agriculture for Food Security in Africa. In P Mensah, et al., (eds). *Systems Analysis Approach for Complex Global Challenges* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71486-8_9
- Namara RE, Sally H. (eds). 2014. *Proceedings of the Workshop on Irrigation in West Africa: Current Status and a View to the Future*, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, December 1-2, 2010. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute (IWMI). 373p. doi:10.5337/2014.218
- Reichardt M, Jürgens C. 2009. Adoption and future perspective of precision farming in Germany: Results of several surveys among different agricultural target groups. *Precision Agriculture* 10(1):73-94.
- Silva CB, do Vale SMLR, Pinto FA, Müller CA, Moura AD. 2007. The economic feasibility of precision agriculture in Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil: A case study. *Precision Agriculture* 8(6):255-265.
- Smith R, Baillie J. 2009. Defining precision irrigation: A new approach to irrigation management. In *Irrigation Australia 2009: Irrigation Australia Irrigation and Drainage Conference: Proceedings* (pp. 1-6). Irrigation Australia Ltd.
- Stirzaker R. 2005. Managing irrigation with a wetting front detector. *UK Irrigation* 33:22-24.

- Stirzaker RJ. 2003. When to turn the water off: Scheduling micro-irrigation with a wetting front detector. *Irrigation Science* 22:177-185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-003-0083-5>.
- Takács-György K, Lencses E, Takács I. 2013. Economic benefits of precision weed control and why its uptake is so slow. *Studies in Agricultural Economics* 115(1).
- World Bank. 2002. Investment in Agricultural Water for Poverty Reduction and Economic Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. Synthesis Report
- Whelan BM, McBratney AB. 2000. The “null hypothesis” of precision agriculture management. *Precision Agriculture* 2(3):265-279.
- Yanbo L, Jinming H, Weijiao D, Liyun Z, Daniel OS, Hong Z. 2019. Success Factors of Irrigation Projects Based on A "Public–Private Partnership" Model in A Mountainous Area: A Case Study in the Nujiang River Valley, China *Sustainability* 11: 6799. doi:10.3390/su11236799
- Yara. 2005. The three stages of the N Sensor System. Available at http://fert.yara.co.uk/en/crop_fertilization/advice_tools_and_services/n_sensor/the_three_stages/index.html